



# Enhancing Accessibility through Inclusive Design and Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Analysis Based on WCAG Guidelines

**Anand Sarangam**

*Email: anand.sarangam@gmail.com*

## Abstract

The evaluation of artificial intelligence (AI) in enhancing digital accessibility and compliance with WCAG, Section 508 and EN 301 standards. How AI technology can provide greater access to digital content for individuals with disabilities using features like screen readers, automatic captioning and image recognition. The study also examines emerging issues of concern such as the issue of biased algorithms, privacy and the problem of having human interventions for AI systems. The work examines the contemporary AI technologies and their applied implications for digital accessibility thereby informing the reader on the potential steps and innovation in making the digital space more open for everyone.

## Introduction

### Background

Talking about the efficiency of AI-driven accessibility for helping people's daily lives. The accessibility is a very beneficial approach in modern technology such as providing digital interfaces and applications that must be operable for people with various types of disabilities. According to Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG), Section 508 as well as EN 301 guidelines have been set as the benchmarks for accessibility in web, mobile applications and different digital interfaces [1]. The paper is established to show the main principles of accessibility design and the effect of compliance with these standards and applying AI to accelerate accessibility.

### Accessibility: An Overview

Accessibility is the process of creating products, devices, services or environments to be used by people with Disabilities. For digital platforms, this calls for designing ways through which users with vision, hearing, movement or comprehension limitations can make use of the platform. Key features of accessibility will be described in the below section.

### Screen Reader

Screen Reader is a coherent assistive technology that reads all the content that is displayed on the screen. This approach is very beneficial for blind or low-vision users in presenting the content to them to give access and user experience similar to a normal user.

### Switch Access and Voice Commands

This coherent accessibility approach has helped the user who has physical disabilities such as help to navigate applications without input devices [2]. For example, keyboard or mouse or using touchscreen.

### Magnification and Display Options

Allow the user with low vision to expand the content of the screen and alter the settings of tools that can be viewed.

### High Contrast Mode and Color Adjustments

Help color-blind people to differentiate and see various interface features.

### Importance

The inclusive design does not end at a compliance level but makes all the users feel empowered and have a sense of self-worth. In web and mobile applications, inclusive design makes it possible to have perceivable visual presentations, operable interactive features, and comprehensible information

presented; in addition, its support for assistive technologies is strong enough to operate and support numerous products [3]. The use of inclusive design is done on every form of interface, whether its smart displays, smartwatches, streaming devices, or other related devices. Therefore, paying special attention to the accessibility aspect in the initial stage of the application development process allows designers and developers to create applications that are highly accessible to users, in general, and increase the role of technology in people's lives.

### **WAccess--A Web Accessibility Tool based on WCAG 2.1 and 2.0 Guidelines**

In this literature, the author Kowndinya Boyalakuntla describes WAccess according to the guidelines. In light of the literature review on web accessibility, the current research also shows a serious deviation from the standard set by WCAG guidelines in a number of government websites [4]. The study demonstrates that there are 6.1 million violations across 2227 websites as well as WCAG 2.2 is the most often violated standard, observed by WCAG 2.0 and 2. Based on the identified Guide, G5 (Identify Input Purpose) and 2. 5. 3 (Label in Name) were noticeably less effective for cognitively and visually impaired users, which meant that usability was greatly affected. The tool WAccess showed differentiated performance in terms of violations, and highlighted numerous violations connected with contrast and focus appearance according to the 1. 4. 3 and 2. 4. 12 [5]. On the other hand, the use of WAccess means that whilst most of the guidelines a web developer may wish to conform to can be checked automatically, some of these checks can only be partially automated to give developers an indication of where changes need to be made for complete conformance [6]. The study thus calls for enhancing automated aids and using AI to enhance coverage of the kinds of guidelines that humans have to intervene in. As the extensive experiment shows, the impact of the proposed tool is very large, however, it is not universal and flawless, as it is not capable of considering all shades of accessibility, which require more detailed consideration, therefore further improvements and more accurate manual checks are necessary.

### **Comparing ten WCAG tools for accessibility evaluation of websites**

In this research paper, the author Shashank Kumar has compared ten WCAG tools for understanding website accessibility. The research on web accessibility tools suggests there are numerous capabilities and issues that are limiting the efficiency of such systems. For example, software known as Utilitia Validator and A-Checker provides the possibility of text checks for a variety of disabilities but presents varied usability experiences [7]. The research has implied that the Utilitia Validator is praised for its elaborate review functions and comprehensive disability functions, such as vision, hearing and speech. However, it is also highlighted for its

moderately difficult interpretation of results. On the other hand, A-Checker is slightly more difficult to navigate, but ultimately offers a much more thorough evaluation of the visual and physical disabilities and even offers breakdowns of potential problems. Also, some other tools such as WAVE and Accessibility Insights for Web Strike have a coherent proportion between usability and cogency but also there are some limitations such as constricted the range of disability which can be tested [8]. For example, the WAVE tool is cited to identify a high number of violations while its findings are not easily understood, especially concerning auditory and speech impairments. Accessibility Insights for Web has a more presentable frontend and provides results for more than one type of check while potentially missing some of the elements. Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act requires that Federal electronics and information technologies should be made available to those with disabilities. This guideline gives importance to accessibility tools while providing services to the clients and must follow federal standards [9]. According to EN 301 549 European standard designed based on the WCAG, is aimed at accessibility of publicly available digital goods and services in the procurement process. According to both guidelines, this shows that tools used should be capable of not only assessing compliance to various aspects of accessibility but also compliance with the legal requirements.

### **Role of AI in Enhancing Accessibility for People with Disabilities**

In this literature, the author Md. Rashed Khan has described the role of AI in accelerating the accuracy of accessibility as well as helping people who have disabilities. On the positive side, AI solutions such as robots and assistive technology hold the potential to increase the possibility of independence as well as a better quality of life for persons with disabilities. They are involved in basic daily tasks, healthcare, and communication and this supports the global cause of technological equality [10]. One of the primary issues is that of algorithmic biases that stem from AI's inherent weaknesses in learning from its data and, thus, reproducing prejudices and stereotypical behavior of the datasets used [11]. This results from inadequate data representation of Disability and AI in addressing diverse Disability in Facial and Emotional Recognition Technologies. Thereby the biases create ethical dilemmas, which necessitate the incorporation of ethical satisfaction in the formulation of strategies in AI, the incorporation of accountability in developing AI and the incorporation of the aspect of transparency in the framing of policies in AI [13]. Recommendations highlight the need for consulting the disability stakeholders in the design of the AI systems, developing diverse datasets and establishing sound legal standards. The above-discussed issues are critical in order to guarantee that emerging technologies benefit every person and respect human rights and diversity.

### **Accessibility Standards and Guidelines WCAG 2.2**

The WCAG guidelines provide a comprehensive framework for web accessibility, focusing on four principles. Four principles that make up the POUR acronym, which stands for Perceivable, Operable, Understandable and Robust [12]. These principles assist in making content accessible and usable by persons with disabilities.

- **Perceivable:** Information must be provided in formats which are perceptible to the users. For instance, people with disabilities will find it easier to access images and videos if they come with a text description.
- **Operable:** Instead, users should be able to locate pertinent information and other features as well as perform various actions.
- **Understandable:** It is beneficial that the content is readable and predictable. Clear instructions and error suggestions accelerate usability.
- **Robust:** Web content must be compatible with present and future user agents including assistive technology.

### **Section 508**

This is a U. S federal law that mandates that anyone who uses electronic and information technology including developing or procuring, maintaining, or using the technology do so in a manner accessible to people with disability [14].

### **EN 301 549**

This European standard provides the minimum requirements for accessible ICT products and services at par with that of Web Content Accessibility Guidelines-WCAG and Section 508.

### **Designing for Accessibility**

Thus, accessibility has to be integrated into the design process to ensure the development of non-discriminatory goods. The accessibility analysts collaborate with the UX/UI designers in order to provide means for the applications to be accessible to the users with disabilities. Some key design considerations include

- **Clear Navigation:** Use landmarks, headings and accessible menus for easy navigation.
- **Contrast and Color Choices:** Providing sufficient difference and avoiding dependence on color alone to obtain information.
- **Responsive Design:** Ensuring that the visual design is flexible enough to accommodate the screen of devices that range from mobile phones to tablets and smart displays.

### **Greenlines**

These design accessibility standards set in advance to help developers and designers of websites. They state how the UI elements should behave and look to be accessible to the users.

## **AI's Role in Accessibility and Impact**

### **AI's Role in Accessibility**

AI can therefore be of great help in enhancing accessibility through the development of tools which enhance the use of interfaces among disabled persons [15]. Some of the key applications have been described in the below section,

#### **AI-Powered Screen Readers**

It has been seen from the background research that modern screen readers that have been based on NLP give contextual information about the content with the help of charts and images. charts and images [16].

#### **Voice Assistants**

Voice interfaces as a part of Interactions, provide users with the ability to manage devices, gather information and perform a variety of tasks without requiring the use of their hands.

#### **Image Recognition**

Computer vision can provide an account of pictures that would help the visually impaired access visual information.

#### **Predictive Text and Auto-Correction**

The above features help those who have difficulties with using their hands or who have learning disabilities to type since it requires a reduced amount of effort.

### **Impact of AI on Accessibility**

The research implied the use of AI in accessibility can accelerate the user experience for people who have disabilities [17]. Also, these technologies built-in Artificial Intelligence make it easy for users to engage the digital content on their own without help from other individuals. AI technologies that are targeted for on-demand assistance include the live transcription services that may be needed by deaf customers or a navigation tool for visually impaired customers.

### **Challenges and Considerations**

The previous discussion shows that AI technologies have coherent efficiency but critical analysis of the various kinds of literature shows some gaps such as challenges which will be encapsulated in the below section.

#### **Bias and Inclusivity**

- Training on the biased dataset fails to deliver user needs as well as excludes some users [18].

#### **Privacy Concerns**

- Concerns of privacy and security would arise from the acquisition and usage of personal data in Accessibility Features under the direction of AI.

#### **Complexity and Usability**

- Over-dependence on AI can problematize interfaces such as making them less reflexive for some users.

### **Conclusion**

AI-driven accessibility enhancements can coherently help people's daily tasks via AI-powered screen readers, voice

assistants and predictive text. This approach also coherently accelerates the accessibility for users who have disabilities. Some challenges such as algorithmic causes of bias, issues of privacy, and excessive dependence on the technology needs to be taken care with the implementations. To overcome these problems, the improvement of the accessibility tools and their compliance with the strong principled and legal requirements for ethical practice is beneficial. To design for accessibility as well as designing usable environments for people with disabilities, we need to make the design process more open and inclusive and keep developing AI tools.

## References

- [1] Shuford, J., 2023. Contribution of Artificial Intelligence in Improving Accessibility for Individuals with Disabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology* ISSN: 2959-6386 (online), 2(2), pp.421-433.
- [2] Almufareh, M.F., Kausar, S., Humayun, M. and Tehsin, S., 2024. A conceptual model for inclusive technology: advancing disability inclusion through artificial intelligence. *Journal of Disability Research*, 3(1), p.20230060.
- [3] Panda, S. and Kaur, N., 2023. Enhancing user experience and accessibility in digital libraries through emerging technologies. *KP Sinhamahapatra [et al.](Eds.), Digital Libraries: Sustainable Development in Education*, Presented on, 21, pp.676-703.
- [4] McDonald, N., Massey, A. and Hamidi, F., 2023. Elicitation and Empathy with AI-enhanced Adaptive Assistive Technologies (AATs): Towards Sustainable Inclusive Design Method Education. *Journal of Problem Based Learning in Higher Education*, 11(2), pp.78-99.
- [5] Boyalakuntla, K., Venigalla, A.S.M. and Chimalakonda, S., 2021. WAccess--A Web Accessibility Tool based on WCAG 2.2, 2.1 and 2.0 Guidelines. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06799*.
- [6] Alsaeedi, A., 2020. Comparing web accessibility evaluation tools and evaluating the accessibility of webpages: proposed frameworks. *Information*, 11(1), p.40.
- [7] Shah, H., ENHANCING WEB ACCESSIBILITY- NAVIGATING THE UPGRADE OF DESIGN SYSTEMS FROM WCAG 2.0 TO WCAG 2.1.
- [8] Ara, J., Sik-Lanyi, C. and Kelemen, A., 2024. Accessibility engineering in web evaluation process: a systematic literature review. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 23(2), pp.653-686.
- [9] Kumar, S., Shree DV, J. and Biswas, P., 2021. Comparing ten WCAG tools for accessibility evaluation of websites. *Technology and Disability*, 33(3), pp.163-185.
- [10] Alsaeedi, A., 2020. Comparing web accessibility evaluation tools and evaluating the accessibility of webpages: proposed frameworks. *Information*, 11(1), p.40.
- [11] Kumar, S., DV, J. and Biswas, P., 2020. Accessibility evaluation of websites using WCAG tools and Cambridge Simulator. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06526*.
- [12] Khan, M.R., 2024. Role of AI in Enhancing Accessibility for People with Disabilities. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence General science (JAIGS)* ISSN: 3006-4023, 3(1), pp.281-291. [14] Shuford, J., 2023. Contribution of Artificial Intelligence in Improving Accessibility for Individuals with Disabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology* ISSN: 2959-6386 (online), 2(2), pp.421-433.
- [13] White, J.J., 2022. Artificial intelligence and people with disabilities: a reflection on human-ai partnerships. *Humanity Driven AI: Productivity, Well-being, Sustainability and Partnership*, pp.279-310.
- [14] Anderson, W., 2024. Exploring AI-Powered Assistive Technologies: Improving Accessibility for Individuals with Disabilities. *International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development*, 6(2).
- [15] Nadu, T., 2024. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE'S (AI) ROLE IN HIGHER EDUCATION-CHALLENGES AND APPLICATIONS. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, 28(4).
- [16] Bryson, J.J., 2019. The past decade and future of AI's impact on society. In *Towards a new enlightenment? A transcendent decade*. Turner.